

### **REMARKS**

This case has been carefully reviewed in view of the Official Action dated 11 August 2004. Responsive to the restriction requirement made in the Official Action, Applicant has elected Species I directed to Figures 1-13 of the subject Patent Application.


In the Official Action, the Examiner identified two patentably distinct Species of the claimed invention. The Examiner stated that Species I, shown in Figs. 1-13, was drawn to a skateboard having a suspension balance system wherein each of the wheels is enabled to have independent horizontal and vertical displacement relative to the board. The Examiner identified Species II, shown in Fig. 14, as being drawn to a second embodiment wherein each of the wheel axles was supported by means of an arm extending away from the board and supporting at its distal end the wheel axle, which enables mounting of larger diameter wheels.

Applicant has elected Species I, as shown in Figs. 1-13, and to which Claims 1-19 are directed. As Claim 1 has been indicated as being generic, no Claims have been cancelled.

MR2549-58  
Serial Number: 10/612,907  
Reply to Office Action dated 11 August 2004

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for substantive examination, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
For: ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE



David I. Klein  
Registration #33,253

Dated: 2 Sept. 2004

Suite 101  
3458 Ellicott Center Drive  
Ellicott City, MD 21043  
(410) 465-6678